

In Re Application of: Milos LAPCEVIC

Application No.: 10/018,887

Filed: December 26, 2001

**COLOSTOMY PUMP DEVICE** 

Art Unit: 3761

Washington, D.C.

Examiner: M. Bogart

Atty.'s Docket: LAPCEVIC=1

OR

OR

Date: April 12, 2004

Customer Window, Mail Stop AF THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Arlington, VA 22202

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 7

Transmitted herewith is a [XX] REPLY TO FINAL ACTION: REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION in the above-identified application.

Confirmation No.: 8381

- Small entity status of this application under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted [ ]
- A verified statement to establish small entity status under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 is enclosed.
- No additional fee is required.

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

(Col. 1)					(Col. 2)		(Col. 3)
		CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT			HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR		PRESENT EXTRA EQUALS
Т	OTAL	*	39	MINUS	**	39	0
11	NDEP.	•	3	MINUS	***	3	0
F	IRST PRI	ESEN	TATION OF I	·			

	SMALL ENTITY						
		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE				
	х	9	\$				
	х	43	\$				
	+	145	\$				
ADDITIONAL FEE TOTAL			\$				

OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY ADDITIONAL RATE FEE \$ 18 \$ 86 290 \$ TOTAL \$

- If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.
- If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.
- If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (total or independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment of the number of claims originally filed.

[XX] Conditional Petition for Extension of Time

If any extension of time for a response is required, applicant requests that this be considered a petition therefor.

It is hereby petitioned for an extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). The appropriate fee required by 37 CFR 1.17 is calculated as shown below: [ ]

	Small Entity	Other T	Other Than Small Entity						
	Response Filed Within		Response Filed Within						
	[ ] First - \$ 55.00		[ ]	First	- \$ 110.00				
	[ ] Second - \$ 210.00		[ ]	Second	- \$ 420.00				
	[ ] Third - \$ 475.00		[ ]	Third	- \$ 950.00				
	[ ] Fourth - \$ 740.00		[ ]	Fourth	- \$1,480.00				
	Month After Time Period Set		Month After Time Period Set						
[]		paid for month(s) extension of tim			<u>_</u> .				
]	Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, is attached, authorizing payment in the amount of \$								
1	A check in the amount of \$	is attached (check no. ).							

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035. This authorization and request is not limited to payment of all fees associated with this communication, including any Extension of Time fee, not covered by check or specific authorization, but is also intended to include all fees for the presentation of extra claims under 37 CFR §1.16 and all patent processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17 throughout the prosecution of the case. This blanket authorization does not include patent issue fees under 37 CFR §1.18.

BROWDY AND NEIMARK

Attorneys for A

She idan Neimark

Registration No. 20,520

Facsimile: Telephone:

(202) 737-3528 (202) 628-5197 BADEN



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## REPLY TO FINAL ACTION: REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Customer Window, Mail Stop AF Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03 Honorable Commissioner for Patents Arlington, Virginia 22202

RECEIVED
APR 1 5 2004

Sir:

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700** 

Applicant is in receipt of the Final Action mailed March 1, 2004, and applicant respectfully acknowledges the telephone conference on or about April 9, 2004, between undersigned representative of applicant, on behalf of applicant, and Examiner Michael Bogart.

The claims in the application remain as claims 1-3, 8-10, 16, 17, 26, 27, 37-42, 47, 49-51, 53, 57, 58, 70, 76-78 and 80-85, which claims define novel and unobvious subject matter under §\$102 and 103, whereby all of applicant's claims should be allowed. Based on the aforementioned telephone conference, applicant believes that the examiner now agrees with applicant's position, whereby the present application